Facility name: CUSTOM ORGANICS , INC.
Location: 1445 W. 42 nd St. CHICABO, 12.
EPA Region:
Person(s) in charge of the facility: CLIFF GOULD - ILLINOIS EPA -
MAYWOOD 312/345.9780
Name of Reviewer: S. SEWALL Date: 2-28-84
General description of the facility:
(For example: landfill, surface impoundment, pile, container; types of hazardous substances; location of the facility; contamination route of major concern; types of information needed for rating; agency action, etc.)
CUSTOM ORGANICS IS A SOLVENT · RECLAIMER FACILITY
LOCATED WITHIN THE ORIGINAL STOLKYARDS AREA OF CHICAGO.
THE FACILITY OPERATES UNDER RORA INTERIM STATUS -
NO SISPOSAL OF FAZARBOUS MATERIALS OCCURS ON SITE.
BY-PRODUCTS of RECLAIMING PROCESSES INCLUDE STILL BOSTOMS
(STORED IN ARUMS - REMOUTED ONCE EVERY 2 MONTHS) AND COOLING
WATER (ASCHARGE TO MUNICIPAL SANITARY ASTRICT).
Scores: $S_M = O$ ($S_{gw} = O$ $S_{sw} = O$ $S_a = O$)
SFE = NIA
$S_{DC} = \gamma/A$

FIGURE 1 HRS COVER SHEET

US EPA RECORDS CENTER REGION 5

	Ground Wat	er Route Work	(She e l	!			
Rating Factor		ed Valu e e On e)		Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
1 Observed Release	6	45		1	0	45	3.1
If observed release is given If observed release is given				. •	•		
Route Characteristics Depth to Aquifer of Concern	0 1 (2) 3		2	4	6	3.2
Net Precipitation Permeability of the Unsaturated Zone Physical State	0 (1) 2 0 (1) 2 (0) 1 2	3 3 3		1 1 1	1	3 3 3	
	Total Route Cha	aracteristics S	core		6	15	
3 Containment	<u>(0)</u> 1 2	3		1	0	3	3. 3
Waste Characteristics Toxicity/Persistence Hazardous Waste	@ 3 6 @ 1 2	9 12 15 18 3 4 5 6	7 8	1	0	18 8	3.4
Quantity		<u></u>					
	Total Waste Cha	aracteristics S	core		0	26	
5 Targets Ground Water Use Distance to Nearest Well/Population Served) 0 4	2 3 6 8 10 8 20 2 35 40		. 3 1		9 40	3.5
	•		•	. •			
	Total Tar	gets Scor e				49	
6 If line 1 is 45, multiply [If line 1 is 0, multiply 2		x 5	: .		0	57,330	:
7 Divide line 6 by 57,330 ar	nd multiply by 1	00	•	Sgw-	0		

FIGURE 2
GROUND WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

Surface Water Route Work Sheet			
Rating Factor Assigned Value Multi (Circle One) Pller	1 SCOLE	Max. Scoré	Ref. (Section)
Observed Release 0 45 1	0	45	4.1
If observed release is given a value of 45, proceed to line 4. If observed release is given a value of 0, proceed to line 2.		•	
Route Characteristics Facility Slope and Intervening (0) 1 2 3		3	4.2
Terrain 1-yr. 24-hr. Rainfall Distance to Nearest Surface Water		3 6	
Physical State 0 1 2 3 1	·	3	
Total Route Characteristics Score		15	
3 Containment 0 1 2 3 1	0	3	4.3
4 Waste Characteristics Toxicity/Persistence Hazardous Waste Ouantity Toxicity/Persistence Ouantity Toxicity/Persistence Ouantity Toxicity/Persistence Ouantity	0	18 8	4.4
Total Waste Characteristics Score	0	26	
Targets Surface Water Use 0 1 2 3 3 Distance to a Sensitive Environment	:	' 9 6	4.5
Population Served/Distance to Water Intake Downstream 24 30 32 35 40		40	
Total Targets Score	·	55	
6 If line 1 is 45, multiply 1 x 4 x 5 If line 1 is 0, multiply 2 x 3 x 4 x 5	0	64,350	•
Divide line 6 by 64,350 and multiply by 100 S _{SW} =	Ø	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

FIGURE 7
SURFACE WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

	Air Route Work Sheet		
	Rating Factor Assigned Value Multi- (Circle One) Plier Score	Max. Score	Rel. (Section)
1	Observed Release 1 0	45	5.1
	Date and Location:		
	Sampling Protocol:	,	
	If line 1 is 0, the $S_a = 0$. Enter on line 5 . If line 1 is 45, then proceed to line 2 .		
2	Waste Characteristics Reactivity and Incompatibility 0 1 2 3 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 1 4 5 6 7 8 1	3 9 8	5.2
	Total Waste Characteristics Score	20	
3	Targets Population Within	30 6 3	5.3
	<u> </u>	·	
	Total Targets Score	39	
4	Multiply 11 x 2 x 3 0	35,100	
[5]	Divide line 4 by 35,100 and multiply by 100 $S_a = O$		·

FIGURE 9 AIR ROUTE WORK SHEET

	s	s²
Groundwater Route Score (Sgw)	0	0
Surface Water Route Score (S _{SW})	0	0
Air Route Score (Sa)	0	O
$s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2$		Ô
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2}$		Ö
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2} / 1.73 = s_M =$		0

FIGURE 10
WORKSHEET FOR COMPUTING S_M

	Fire and Ex	plosion Wo	ork Sheet	^	1/19		
Rating Factor		ed Value e One)	7.	:Aulti- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
1 Containment	1	3		1		3	7.1
Waste Characteristics						•	7.2
Direct Evidence	0	3-	• • • • •	1	-	3	
Ignitability	0 1 2	3		1		3	
Reactivity	0 1 2	3		1	٠.	3	•
Incompatibili ly Hazardous Wast e	0 1 2	3 4 5	6 7 8	1		3	
Quantity	0 1 2	3 4 3				, 8	
						i	
					200		
То	tal Waste Cha	racteristics	Score			20 .	•
3 Targets					1	_	7.3
Distance to Nearest	0 1 2	3 4 5		1	•	. 5	1.3
Population		5 , 5		. •			
Distance to Nearest Building	0 1 2	3		1		3	
Distance to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2	3		1		3	
Land Use	0 1 2	. 3		1		3	
Population Within 2-Mile Radius	0 1 2	3 4 5		1		. 5	
Buildings Within	0 1 2	3 4 5		1		- 5	
2-Mile Radius							
	1.						
				. ,			
				•			
				_	_	•	
•							•
e S						*.	
	Total Tar	gets Score				24	. :
Multiply 1 x 2 x 3		· · · ·				1,440	
5 Divide line 4 by 1,440 and a	multinly by 10	 on		SFE =	~//	! a	
Civios ime [4] of 1440 arig 1		····		~ r t =		, 	

FIGURE 11
FIRE AND EXPLOSION WORK SHEET

		Direct Contact Work Sheet				
Rating Factor		Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
Observed Inciden	t. 14. 14. 3	0 45	1		45	8.1
_	proceed to line					•
2 Accessibility		0 1 2 3	1		3	8.2
3 Containment		0 15	1		15	8. 3
Waste Characteris Toxicity	stics	0 1 2 3	5		15	8.4 .
5 Targets Population Within 1-Mile Radius	ı a	0 1 2 3 4 5	4		20	8. 5
Distance to a Critical Habit at		0 1 2 3	4	: :	. 12	
	•		•			
		Total Targets Score			32	
_	multiply 1 x	4 x 5 3 x 4 x 5		·	21,600	
7 Divide line 6 b	y 21,600 and m	oultiply by 100	S _{DC} =	NA	· ·	

FIGURE 12 DIRECT CONTACT WORK SHEE**T**

DOCUMENTATION RECORDS FOR HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM

INSTRUCTIONS: The purpose of these records is to provide a convenient way to prepare an auditable record of the data and documentation used to apply the Hazard Ranking System to a given facility. As briefly as possible summarize the information you used to assign the score for each factor (e.g., "Waste quantity = 4,230 drums plus 800 cubic yards of sludges"). The source of information should be provided for each entry and should be a bibliographic-type reference that will make the document used for a given data point easier to find. Include the location of the document and consider appending a copy of the relevant page(s) for ease in review.

FACILITY N.	AME:Co	ISTOM ORGAI	uics, inc.	
		•		•
LOCATION:	1445 4	J. 42 nd SF	CHICAGO, 14	

GROUND WATER ROUTE

1 OBSERVED RELEASE

Contaminants detected (5 maximum):

NONE SOCUMENTES.

Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the facility:

2 ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

Depth to Aquifer of Concern

Name/description of aquifers(s) of concern:

SILURIAN BOLOMITE - LIMESTONE

FROM WELL LOGS FOR PRODUCTION WELLS IN TIPE VICINITY
OF CUSTOM ORGANICS. ECOLOGY & ENVIRONMENT FILES - CHICAGO.

Depth(s) from the ground surface to the highest seasonal level of the saturated zone [water table(s)] of the aquifer of concern:

60 FEET - SEE REFERENCE ABOUT

Depth from the ground surface to the lowest point of waste disposal/ storage:

NO WASTE STORED BELOW BROWND SURFACE.

Net Precipitation

Mean annual or seasonal precipitation (list months for seasonal):

32 INCHES - HRS MANUAL

Mean annual lake or seasonal evaporation (list months for seasonal):

30 INCHES HRS MANUAL

Net precipitation (subtract the above figures):

2 INCHES

Permeability of Unsaturated Zone

Soil type in unsaturated zone:

FROM WELL LOGS ECOLOGY & ENURONMENT FILES - CHICAGO.

Permeability associated with soil type:

4,0-5 ≥ 10-3 cm/sec - HRS MANUAL

Physical State

Physical state of substances at time of disposal (or at present time for generated gases):

NO MAZARDOUS MATERIALS ARE DISPOSED OF AT THIS FACILITY.

ALL HATARDOUS SUBSTANCES NANDLED AT THE FACILITY ARE

REGULATED UNDER RORA. NO RECORDS OF ANY INCIDENTS SUCH

AS SPILLS ARE AUAILABLE. THE FACILITY OPERATIONS INVOLVE RECOVERING SPENT ORGANIC SOLVENTS. PROCESS RESIDUES ARE COLLECTED IN TANKS AND REMOVED EVERY 2 MONTHS TO CIA LANDFILL COOLING WATER IS DISCHARGED INTO MUNICIPAL SANITARY DISTRICT SEWERS. IN VIEW OF THESE FACTORS, THE SITE WILL SCORE RERO

DUE TO CONTAINMENT, WASTE QUANTITY AND TOXICITY/PERSISTENCE.

FROM REVIEW OF STATE FILES - MAYWOOD, SPRINGFIELD, E & E FILES - CHICAGO,

E & E SITE INSPECTION AND INTERVIEW WITH SITE REPRESENTATIVE D. BURAKOVICH

3 CONTAINMENT

Containment

Method(s) of waste or leachate containment evaluated:

SEE PART 2 "PHYSICAL STATE" GROWND WATER ROUTE.

ALL DRHMS ARE STORED ON CONCRETE PARS WHICH ARE ADEQUATELY

DIKED. THESE PADS ARE SLOPED TOWARDS A RUN OFF COLLECTION

SYSTEM - ANY SURFACE DRAINAGE FROM THESE PADS IS DIRECTED INTO

Method with highest score: CONFAINED SUMPS WHICH ARE PERIODICALLY

PUMPED.

4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Toxicity and Persistence

Compound(s) evaluated:

SEE PART 2 "PHYSICAL STATE" GROWN WATER ROUTE.

Compound with highest score:

Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous substances at the facility, excluding those with a containment score of 0 (Give a reasonable estimate even if quantity is above maximum);

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

5 TARGETS

Ground Water Use

Use(s) of aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius of the facility:

Distance to Nearest Well

Location of nearest well drawing from aquifer of concern or occupied building not served by a public water supply:

Distance to above well or building:

Population Served by Ground Water Wells Within a 3-Mile Radius

Identified water-supply well(s) drawing from aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius and populations served by each:

Computation of land area irrigated by supply well(s) drawing from aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius, and conversion to population (1.5 people per acre):

Total population served by ground water within a 3-mile radius:

SURFACE WATER ROUTE

SEE PART 2 " PHYSICAL STATE

GROUND WATER ROUTE

1 OBSERVED RELEASE

Contaminants detected in surface water at the facility or downhill from it (5 maximum):

Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the facility:

2 ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

Facility Slope and Intervening Terrain

Average slope of facility in percent:

Name/description of nearest downslope surface water:

Average slope of terrain between facility and above-cited surface water body in percent:

Is the facility located either totally or partially in surface vater?

Is the facility completely surrounded by areas of higher elevation?

1-Year 24-Hour Rainfall in Inches

Distance to Nearest Downslope Surface Water

Physical State of Waste

3 CONTAINMENT

Containment

Method(s) of waste or leachate containment evaluated:

Method with highest score:

4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Toxicity and Persistence

Compound(s) evaluated

Compound with highest score:

Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous substances at the facility, excluding those with a containment score of 0 (Give a reasonable estimate even if quantity is above maximum):

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

I

* * *

5 TARGETS

Surface Water Use

Use(s) of surface water within 3 miles downstream of the hazardous substance:

Is there tidal influence?

Distance to a Sensitive Environment

Distance to 5-acre (minimum). coastal wetland, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) fresh-water wetland, if 1 mile or less:

Distance to critical habitat of an endangered species or national wildlife refuge, if 1 mile or less:

Population Served by Surface Water

Location(s) of water-supply intake(s) within 3 miles (free-flowing bodies) or 1 mile (static water bodies) downstream of the hazardous substance and population served by each intake:

Computation of land area irrigated by above-cited intake(s) and conversion to population (1.5 people per acre):

Total population served:

Name/description of nearest of above water bodies:

Distance to above-cited intakes, measured in stream miles.

AIR ROUTE

1	OBS	ERV.	ED 1	REI	LEASE
---	-----	------	------	-----	-------

Contaminants detected:

NONE BOOUMENTEA.

Date and location of detection of contaminants

Methods used to detect the contaminants:

Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the site:

2 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Reactivity and Incompatibility

Most reactive compound:

Most incompatible pair of compounds:

Toxicity

Most toxic compound:

Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous waste:

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

3 TARGETS

Population Within 4-Mile Radius

Circle radius used, give population, and indicate how determined:

0 to 4 mi

0 to 1 mi

0 to 1/2 mi

0 to 1/4 mi

Distance to a Sensitive Environment

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) coastal wetland, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) fresh-water wetland, if 1 mile or less:

Distance to critical habitat of an endangered species, if I mile or less:

Land Use

Distance to commercial/industrial area, if I mile or less:

Distance to national or state park, forest, or wildlife reserve, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to residential area, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 1 mile or less:

Distance to prime agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 2 miles or less:

Is a historic or landmark site (National Register or Historic Places and National Natural Landmarks) within the view of the site?

Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous substances at the facility:

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

* * *

3 TARGETS

Distance to Nearest Population

Distance to Nearest Building

Distance to Sensitive Environment

Distance to wetlands:

Distance to critical habitat:

Land Use

Distance to commercial/industrial area, if 1 mile or less:

FIRE AND EXPLOSION

1 CONTAINMENT

Hazardous substances present:

Type of containment, if applicable:

2 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Direct Evidence

Type of instrument and measurements:

Ignitability

Compound used:

Reactivity

Most reactive compound:

Incompatibility

Most incompatible pair of compounds:

Distance to national or state park, forest, or wildlife reserve, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to residential area, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 1 mile or less:

Distance to prime agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 2 miles or less:

Is a historic or landmark site (National Register or Historic Places and National Natural Landmarks) within the view of the site?

Population Within 2-Mile Radius

Buildings Within 2-Mile Radius

DIRECT CONTACT

1 OBSERVED INCIDENT

Date, location, and pertinent details of incident:

* * *

2 ACCESSIBILITY

Describe type of barrier(s):

* * *

3 CONTAINMENT

Type of containment, if applicable:

* * *

4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Toxicity

Compounds evaluated:

Compound with highest score:

5 TARGETS

Population within one-mile radius

Distance to critical habitat (of endangered species)